**Course Project**

The project aims to introduce you to the AI/ML research landscape and help you dive deeper into a topic of your choice (as the lecture content is necessarily narrow). The project aims to emulate the research paper publishing process including literature review, paper writing, implementation, presentation, and peer reviews.

**Research Paper Selection (at least 3)**

Students will be required to select and read at least three (but could be more) related recent conference or journal research papers in the fields of AI, computer vision, natural language processing, or machine learning. Specifically, the papers must have been:

2. Published in one of the following venues (*=preferred venues):
   b. Artificial Intelligence (broader) (AAAI*, IJCAI)
   c. Computer Vision (CVPR*, ICCV, ECCV)
   d. Natural Language Processing (ACL*, NAACL, EMNLP)
3. Contain material that can be implemented with publicly available datasets. (at least one paper)

I may be willing to accept papers even if they do not fit the criteria above, but they must be approved by me one week before the due date. Note that arXiv papers are not allowed unless they have been published already in the venues above. When you cite a paper, make sure to cite the final publication venue (e.g., ICML) and NOT arXiv.

For a journal paper, your citations should contain (at least) the paper title, authors, journal, volume, year, pages and pdf URL. For a conference paper, your citations should contain (at least) the paper title, authors, conference, year, and pdf URL. Below is an example of BibTeX entry for a conference.

```bibtex
@inproceedings{kulinski2023towards,  
  author = {Sean M. Kulinski and David I. Inouye},  
  title = {Towards Explaining Distribution Shifts},  
  booktitle = {International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)},  
  month = {July},  
  year = {2023},}
```

**Implementation**

You will be required to do one of the following:

1. Reimplement method in paper: Reimplement and evaluate the method from at least one paper. If an implementation of the paper is already available (e.g. from the author’s website), you must state this in your report and compare your implementation to the existing implementation, both in terms of code and performance. Ideally, you should replicate the experiments presented in the paper, but I will not require this.
2. **Rerun experiments AND extend methods in paper**: If code already exists to reproduce experiments, you should first rerun some of the original experiments. Then you must propose, implement, and evaluate a significant extension of the paper. For this option, you can build off of any existing implementation, but your implementation must extend or alter the original method in a significant way.

*Your part* of the implementation for any of the above must be nontrivial. A good guideline is that your implementation should be at least four pages of code. Ultimately, I will determine whether or not the implementation meets the non-triviality requirement. If you have questions about your implementation, please contact me to discuss.

*The implementation must be in Python.* Given that state-of-the-art methods require many GPU hours to train, I would suggest getting your implementation to work on simple benchmark datasets first (e.g., MNIST, FashionMNIST, StarCraftMNIST, CIFAR10). If you have time, evaluate on more complex datasets.

**Term Paper**

You will be required to write a six-page paper (specifically, 5.5-6.5 pages of content excluding references) in LaTeX meeting the typesetting conventions of ICML (see ICML 2023 author instructions for LaTeX template and instructions). I strongly suggest using Overleaf.com for LaTeX. Approximately two to three pages of this paper should be a substantive critique of the three (or more) papers that you have read. And approximately three to four pages of this paper should be a description of your implementation, evaluation and discussion of the method from at least one of the papers. (Note: **You should not put your name on the term paper to accommodate for peer reviews.** See “Peer Reviews” section below.)

**5 Minute Spotlight Video**

To facilitate the large class size, you will be required to create a 5-minute video presentation of your project. These videos will be made available to everyone in the class. The video should be between 4-5 minutes but not longer than 5 minutes. This “spotlight” presentation should cover:

1. Motivation and problem definition (including prior work if appropriate).
2. A description of your implementation.
3. A description and discussion of your evaluation.
4. One slide to highlight the take-home messages.
5. (Optional) Future directions.

You should only have between 5-10 slides to fit within the 5 minutes. One minute per slide is usually reasonable. You can see real examples of video abstracts from top machine learning conference at https://nips.cc/Conferences/2018/Schedule?type=Poster (look for "3-min video" links). I do not expect your video to be at the quality level of these videos, but it hopefully gives you some ideas. The video can be in any format you want including animations, slides with narration, video of you presenting, or combination of the above. However, the focus should be on **clarity** rather than **fanciness**.

**Presentations**

(Tentative, final details TBD) For presentations, we will likely use Zoom breakout rooms for simultaneous live presentation sessions to accommodate the large class. You will be required
to sign up as a presenter and a discussant. The discussant will watch another student’s presentation beforehand and prepare discussion questions to ask the presenter live.

Logistics

“Paired Projects” - Individual and disjoint work but shared narrow topic
The course project deliverables will be graded individually and your code and report must be done individually. However, I encourage you to discuss your papers and project with other students. Thus, I will allow “Paired Projects” this year. I will allow you to join with a partner and choose to both study the same narrow topic. Together, you will need to choose 6 papers (3 per person) and each person must implement 1 of their 3 papers just like individual projects. However, you can share some setup code and can discuss your projects with each other. **If you choose this option, you must declare who your partner is in the checkpoints and be very clear which code is yours alone.**

Optional Tutorials on Current Topics
To aid in topic and paper selection, I hope to have 3-5 optional tutorials on current research topics led by me or another faculty at Purdue. The logistics are still up in the air, but they will likely be outside of class but recorded for online students and those who cannot make it in person.

Peer Reviews of Project
Throughout the semester, you will be required to turn in project checkpoints that will be reviewed by your peers (similar to the peer review process for academic publishing). Like all conferences, this process will be double blind: reviewers will not know the identity of authors and vice versa. To support this, like all conferences, **you should NOT put your name on your submissions or on your reviews.** Also, like conferences, reviews will be confidential. The only person who will be privy to the reviews will be the reviewer, the instructor, and the author. For the final term paper, each student will be required to read other student term papers and prepare conference-style reviews, primarily indicating clarity and the quality of the implementation effort.

Project Checkpoints
For each checkpoint and the final term paper, you will be required to write the document in LaTeX meeting the typesetting conventions of ICML (see link above). For references, use BibTeX (*.bib file) as in the ICML template. I strongly suggest using [https://www.overleaf.com/](https://www.overleaf.com/) for writing your paper since it includes all necessary software for doing LaTeX.

1. **Preliminary implementation results** – You will be required to submit a draft describing your experimental motivation, experimental setup, preliminary implementation efforts, and your planned next steps (2-3 pages of content). You may have failed to reproduce the results or have run into significant problems. This is okay at this stage, but you will need to write about your efforts.
2. **Draft review and critique of selected papers** – You will be required to submit a draft of your review and critique of the three papers (2-3 pages, i.e., at least 2 full pages).